

House should make sure that the most sacred of bonds, that between a parent and child, is preserved. We must pressure signatory countries to comply with the Hague Convention, especially in cases such as these, where their own courts have ordered a return.

SALUTING SOUTH FLORIDIANS WHO PARTICIPATED IN SYDNEY 2000 OLYMPICS

(Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pleasure that I congratulate all the individuals from South Florida who participated in the Sidney 2000 Olympics.

I am proud to know that there were athletes from our area representing the United States. These individuals honored our community and our country:

Juan Miguel Moreno in Tai Kwon Do; Angel Perez in kayak; Magnus Liljedahl in star sailing, he won the Gold Medal;

Alonzo Mourning and Tim Hardaway in basketball, also Gold Medalists;

Seilala Sua in discus;

Michele Davison, Jenny Keim, and David Pichler in diving;

Margie Goldstein-Engle in equestrian;

Lauren Meece and Lauren Moreno in judo;

Vince Spadea in tennis;

Mickisha Hurley and George Roumain in volleyball;

And Doug Meintkiewicz in baseball, a Gold Medalist.

There were other residents from South Florida who, although they did not represent the United States, did an outstanding job in representing other countries, and this demonstrated the cultural diversity and excellence that makes our area such a unique place and a wonderful area in which to live.

These athletes stand as examples of perfection, excellence, and diligence, and of what can be achieved through many years of hard work and dedication. I am proud to know that they are from South Florida, and I ask my congressional colleagues to join me in congratulating not only these Olympians, but all the athletes who showed the rest of the world the best that our country has to offer.

AN AMERICA WITHOUT GOD IS AN AMERICA THE FOUNDERS NEVER INTENDED

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, the United States Army in Europe has denied Catholic soldiers the right to hold mass in the base chapel. A spokesman

said, and I quote, "The Army will not pay for the cost of a priest." He further said, "If we allow the Catholics in, we must allow all religions in."

Now, if that is not enough to shred the Bible, the Army does allow and permits witchcraft and pagan ceremonies at the base. The spokesman said, and I quote, "The witchcraft groups pay for their own pagan ministers."

Unbelievable. It is time to call in the dogs, throw the coffee grinds on the fire, the hunting is over. When the U.S. Army allows Satan in one door and will not allow God in the other door, America is so screwed up we do not know where we are going.

Beam me up, here. I yield back the fact that an America without God is an America that the Founders never planned.

WHO DO YOU TRUST?

(Mr. SMITH of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, George Bush wants our children to learn more in school. He wants those who work to keep more of their hard-earned dollars, and he wants those who are retired to have a secure future.

George Bush trusts the people. His opponent wants more government. George Bush trusts parents with school choices. He trusts taxpayers to spend their dollars better than the government. He trusts retirees to invest their savings.

On the other hand, his opponent has a trust problem. Under the Clinton-Gore administration, numerous officials have been indicted or convicted, 83 witnesses refused to testify in court about campaign contribution violations, and another 21 fled the country.

To restore integrity to the White House, the American people deserve a president they can trust. George Bush wants a government worthy of so great a people, a government that is honest, nonpartisan, and scandal-free. Only one presidential candidate can lead America to that shining goal: George Bush.

THE REPUBLICANS' FIG LEAF PRESCRIPTION DRUG BILL

(Mr. McDERMOTT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, today is Republican fig leaf bill on the cost of medications. The agriculture bill allows the reimportation of medications that have been shipped abroad to be brought back into the country, presumably to be sold at lower prices.

What the Republicans want the American people to believe is that the pharmaceutical companies will send medications out of the country that

they charge \$1 in this country for but only 30 cents in Canada, and they will allow them to come back in and be sold for 30 cents.

Mr. Speaker, this provision is a fraud. It allows the pharmaceutical companies to relabel the drugs so people will be confused about whether it is the same medication. It also allows them a 5-year sunset, and it also restricts the contracts when they sell them abroad. They will write a contract that says to the Canadians, "We are selling this to you, and you agree that you will not reimport."

This bill is filled with fraudulent information, but it is going to be the basis of 100 to 218 press releases today: "The Republicans have dealt with the problem of the cost of medications."

TEN THOUSAND CHICKENS

(Mr. PITTS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, reputations are earned. They take a long time to acquire, as one develops and improves his abilities.

Like those in centuries past who told tall tales about Paul Bunyan or Pecos Bill, it takes a certain talent to stretch the truth.

Well, there is another tall tale reported in the papers this morning that was spun some 20 years ago. It is a tale told by a Washington politician who liked to fancy himself a farmer.

He told his friends that he was once a chicken farmer. He said, "I have raised chickens myself, 10,000 at one time, 5,000 in each of two houses." The politician who told this tale was also the son of a politician so he grew up in Washington, not on a farm.

True, he would go back home to Tennessee once in a while to visit, but all those chickens, they were on another farm that he did not visit. He certainly did not raise 10,000 chickens.

This candidate has earned a place among the best spinners of yarns in America. He tells some of the best tall tales today. The tale of the 10,000 chickens is just one more tall tale from Tennessee. AL GORE spins a good yarn.

SENIORS WANT AN AFFORDABLE PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLAN THROUGH MEDICARE, NOT EMPTY RHETORIC

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, our seniors face skyrocketing prices of prescription drugs. Many are forced to choose between purchasing their medication and buying groceries. For those skipping meals or missing rent payments, a prescription drug benefit is

vital to returning dignity to their lives.

In July, this House passed an amendment to allow U.S. pharmacists to buy medications at the same low prices paid for in other countries, 20 to 50 percent less for the same drugs, and then we could pass those savings on to our seniors. It makes sense.

But last week in the dead of night the Republican leadership twisted this amendment into a deal full of loopholes so big that they could drive a truck through them.

The deal does nothing for seniors. It only protects the pharmaceutical industry profits. This compromise artificially restricts access to safe and affordable drugs abroad. It gives the drug industry a veto over all imports.

Our seniors deserve better. They deserve the same medications at the same prices that people are paying for overseas. It is time for the Republican leadership to stop using empty rhetoric. We should have a pharmaceutical plan that works. We ought to have a prescription drug benefit through Medicare.

If there must be reimportation, then in fact let us be able to reimport those drugs at a price our seniors can pay for.

WELCOME TO NEWBORN JACK CHRISTOPHER LINDGREN

(Mr. CHABOT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, I want to take a moment this morning to welcome into this world Jack Christopher Lindgren, who was born just a couple of weeks ago, on September 21.

I want to congratulate his proud parents, Gary Lindgren, chief of staff in my office, and his lovely wife, Susan. I know they are delighted with their handsome baby boy.

There is some good news for little Jack. Thanks to a Republican Congress, his parents will enjoy a \$500 tax credit for their new child. That will help buy diapers and baby food and some of the clothing that babies seem to grow out of in a couple of weeks.

But here is a dose of reality for young Jack. Because of the steadfast opposition of the Clinton-Gore administration, Jack's parents will be paying a penalty again this year to the Internal Revenue Service.

□ 1015

Their offense? They chose to be married. When Congress tried to correct that inequity in the Tax Code this year, President Clinton said no. There is hope for all of those American families who work hard every day to pay their taxes and support their families. They will have a chance to reduce their tax burden by saying good-bye to the Clinton-Gore team.

Mr. Speaker, American working families deserve a break. Let us give it to them.

DRUG IMPORT PROVISIONS OF AGRICULTURE APPROPRIATIONS BILL

(Mr. BROWN of Ohio asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, sometimes I wonder whether the Republican leadership of this Congress reports to the public or to the prescription drug industry. The public sends a clear message that they are sick of unjustifiably high and blatantly discriminatory prescription drug prices.

Seniors are particularly vulnerable to overwhelming prescription drug expenses. Democrats offer a proposal featuring an optional Medicare drug benefit, drug prices discounted to reflect a collective bargaining power of 39 million Medicare beneficiaries, and a strategy for undercutting international price discrimination, the ability to reimport prescription drugs.

Republicans refuse to even consider price discounts for seniors, they emasculate the reimportation proposal, and then they sunset those weak provisions before they even have a chance to kick in.

A phony watered-down drug reimportation bill is marginally better than no bill at all, but I do not want a single American to be fooled into thinking that Republican leadership has been responsive to the prescription drug crisis. The only constituency they have been responsive to is the prescription drug industry.

DATABASE PROTECTION LEGISLATION

(Mr. COBLE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. COBLE. Mr. Speaker, this will now be the third Congress in which legislation protecting databases has failed to become law. Over the past years, the opponents of such legislation have done all they can to prevent legislation from moving forward and maintain the status quo so they may pirate the work of others due to the current gap in protection. They first claimed there was no need for legislation. Then subsequently, they admitted there was, in fact, a need as long as they could get a carve-out for themselves.

How selfishly convenient. This issue will not go away. Now, more than ever, America's database producers need sufficient protection to ensure the continued investment in developing these information products. Their vulnerability remains as the pirates still sail without fear.

Rest assured, Mr. Speaker, I will do everything I can next session to finally

pass legislation which benefits database producers and, therefore, benefits American consumers. Finally, Mr. Speaker, I want to express thanks to the many people who worked tirelessly to promote this legislation.

VOTING MAKES A DIFFERENCE

(Mr. EHLERS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EHLERS. Mr. Speaker, Monday evening I spoke to a college government class in my community, and a young woman commented during the question period. She asked, I just turned 18, I will be voting for the first time, can you tell me why I should vote? What difference does the government make in my life?

I gave her two quick examples, and I thought everyone listening to this might be interested in these examples. I said, first of all that, when I was elected in 1994, we had deficits of \$300 billion per year. The Republicans took over. We now have a surplus of over \$100 billion per year. That is a \$400 billion per year difference, and that computes to \$2,200 for every single taxpayer in this Nation. That does make a difference to you. You should vote this year.

The second example I gave is that the interest on the debt is going to cost her \$185,000 during her lifetime, even if we do not add another cent to the debt. This is equivalent to the cost of a nice house in my district. It does make a difference who is in control; we have started to pay off the debt. It does make a difference, and people should vote accordingly. I am very proud of what we have accomplished, and how we have put money back in the hands of the people, including this young 18-year-old lady. I hope that she does vote, and I hope that she does vote for the good of this country.

URGING OSHA TO STOP CORRUPT ERGONOMICS RULE-MAKING

(Mr. BALLENGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BALLENGER. Mr. Speaker, just when we thought the Clinton-Gore administration could not sink any lower, they always figure out another way. I recently learned that OSHA paid for 35 posthearing comments for the record on its proposed ergonomics rule. In effect, OSHA bureaucrats paid for what they wanted the public to hear and did not allow real public comments to stand. To make matters worse, OSHA paid for these comments with taxpayers dollars.

This disregard for the mandated public comment period tells a story of the Clinton-Gore-AFL-CIO Labor Department. Mr. Speaker, this outrage bears